PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE

For Calendar Year: 2004

New X

Previous Year (below line/defer)

Issue: Carbon Dioxide Emissions Reduction Project

Lead Department: Public Works

General Plan Element or Sub-Element: None

1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?

Sustainable Silicon Valley (SSV) has set a goal of reducing CO_2 emissions 20% below 1990 levels by 2010. In 1990, 13.42 million tons of CO_2 were emitted into the atmosphere in Silicon Valley. Achieving the SSV goal means that no more than 10.74 million tons of CO_2 will be emitted in 2010. Businesses and municipalities interested in supporting the 20% target will be invited to participate in this pilot project by quantifying the associated CO_2 emissions reduction benefit and pledging their continued efforts to save energy and switch to less carbon intensive fuels, thus reducing their CO_2 emissions. Contributions toward meeting the regional CO_2 emissions reduction goal will be charted and progress toward the Valley goal will be shared with the public. A participating entity is asked to:

- 1. Choose one (or more) of its facilities in Silicon Valley
- 2. Select a baseline reporting year for each facility
- 3. Adopt a goal for CO₂ emissions reduction (percentage and year)
- 4. Select a normalizing factor (optional) for each facility
- Track each facility's annual electricity and natural gas use. Optionally, track its
 diesel and/or gasoline use for its fleet, employee business use of personal
 vehicles, and/or employee commuting
- 6. Report annually to SSV (either annual energy use or convert total energy use into pounds of CO₂)
- 7. Include a brief description of some of the key actions it has taken that led to the decreasing emissions of CO₂

Ideally, all of the major uses of fossil-based energy, i.e., electricity, natural gas, diesel and gasoline, would be measured. At a minimum, annual electricity and natural gas usage will be tracked over time.

2.	How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?
	The City has no policies on the topic of global climate change.

3.	Origin of issue:	
	Councilmember:	Walker
	General Plan:	

Staff:				
BOARD or COMMIS	SSION_N/A			
Arts	Housing & Huma	an Svcs		
Bldg. Code of Appe	eals Library			
BPAC	Parks & Rec.			
ССАВ	Personnel			
Heritage & Preserva Board / Commissio	ation Planning n Ranking/Comment:			
	Board / Commission ranked	_ of		
Multiple Year Projec	ct? Yes ✓ No Expected Year	of Completio	on	
Estimated work hours for completion of the study issue.				
(a) Estimated work	hours from the lead department		30	
(b) Estimated work	hours from consultant(s):			
(c) Estimated work	hours from the City Attorney's Office	e:	0	
(d) List any other do	epartment(s) and number of work			
Department(s):	Fin 10 hours DPR (Facilities Mgmt) 30 hours CDD Planning 10 hours		50	
Total Estimated Ho	urs:		80	
Expected participat	tion involved in the study issue proc	ess?		
(a) Does Council ne	eed to approve a work plan?	Yes ✓	No	
(b) Does this issue Board/Commiss	require review by a ion?	Yes	No 🗸	
If so, which Bo	oard/Commission?			
(c) Is a Council Stu	dy Session anticipated?	Yes	No 🗸	
(d) What is the pub	lic participation process?	N	/ A	

7. Estimated Fiscal Impact:

Cost of Study		3,000
Capital Budget Costs	\$	0 – 100,000
New Annual Operating Costs	\$	500 - 25,000
New Revenues or Savings		0
10 Year RAP Total		8,000 - 350,000
Budget Modification Needed		

8. <u>Staff Recommendation</u>

Recommended for Study

Against Study

X No Recommendation

Explain below staff's recommendation if "for" or "against" study. Department director should also note the relative importance of this study to other major projects that the department is currently working on or that are soon to begin, and the impact on existing services/priorities.

reviewed by					
Department Director	Date	_			
approved by					
City Manager	Date	_			